

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LOUIS RIVAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No.: 5:19-cv-75

LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC,  
and BRIAN SCHOEMAN,

Defendants.

---

**PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT AND**  
**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE COURT:

Plaintiff, LOUIS RIVAS, by and through his undersigned counsel, brings this action against Defendants, LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC, and BRIAN SCHOEMAN, and in support thereof alleges as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff brings this action for unpaid wage compensation and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* Plaintiff seeks damages for unpaid wages, liquidated damages, and a reasonable attorney's fee and costs.

**JURISDICTION**

2. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by Title 28 U.S.C. §1337 and by

Title 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

### **VENUE**

3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Defendant, LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC, has offices located in San Antonio, Texas.

### **THE PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff is an individual who resides in San Antonio, Texas, and worked for Defendants from on or about September of 2017 through January 21, 2018, as a printer at the rate of \$25.00 per hour.

5. Defendant, LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC, is a limited liability corporation formed and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, and at all times material to this complaint, maintained and operated a design services business in San Antonio, Texas.

6. Defendant, BRIAN SCHOEMAN, is a resident San Antonio, Texas, and is the managing member of LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC.

### **COMMON ALLEGATIONS**

7. Defendant, LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC, operates a company primarily engaged in design services and is an employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).

Page 3 of 6

8. Defendant, LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC, has employees subject to the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 206 in the facility where Plaintiff was employed.

9. At all times material to this complaint, Defendant, LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC, employed two or more employees and had an annual dollar volume of sales or business done of at least \$500,000.00.

10. At all times material to this complaint, Defendant, LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC, was an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce, operating a business engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined by § 3(r) and 3(s) of the Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r)-(s).

11. At all times material hereto, Defendant, BRIAN SCHOEMAN, actively ran the business of Defendant, LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC, on a day-to-day basis and acted directly or indirectly in the interest of Defendant, LJUS CONCEPTS, LLC, in relation to Plaintiff's employment and was substantially in control of the terms and conditions of the Plaintiff's work.

12. Plaintiff was at all times material individually engaged in commerce as his work was directly related to the movement products and information in interstate commerce.

13. Defendant, BRIAN SCHOEMAN, had the ability to hire and fire plaintiff; and, controlled Plaintiff's rate of pay and method of pay, schedule, and

Page 4 of 6

conditions of employment and was Plaintiff's employer as defined by 29 U.S.C. §203(d).

14. During one or more weeks of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants, Plaintiff worked in excess of forty (40) hours. Plaintiff generally worked 50 hours each workweek, but was not paid any pay beyond 40 hours per workweek. Plaintiff calculates that he is owed 104.50 hours of overtime ( $\$25.00 \times 1.5 \times 104.50 = \$3,918.75$ ).

15. Throughout the employment of Plaintiff, the Defendants repeatedly and willfully violated Sections 7 and 15 of the Fair Labor Standards Act by failing to compensate Plaintiff at a rate not less than one and one-half times her regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of 40 in a workweek.

16. As a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to actual and compensatory damages, including the amount of overtime wages which were not paid that should have been paid.

17. Defendants failed to act reasonably to comply with the FLSA, and, as such, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of liquidated damages in an equal amount as the amount of unpaid wages deemed to be owed pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

18. Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees, costs, expert fees, mediator fees and out-of-pocket expenses incurred

Page 5 of 6

by bringing this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

19. Plaintiff has retained the law firm of Ross Law, P.C., to represent him in this action. Plaintiff has entered into a valid contract with Ross Law, P.C., and has appointed the undersigned counsel to be his sole agent, attorney-in-fact, and representative in this suit, exclusive of all other parties, including Plaintiff. To avoid tortious interference with Plaintiff's obligations to his attorneys in this suit, all communications concerning this suit must be made by Defendants and Defendants' attorneys solely to and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff's contract with and representation by the undersigned attorney gives rise to a claim for reasonable and necessary attorney's fees that Plaintiff is entitled to collect against Defendants pursuant to 29 U. S. C. § 216(b).

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff demands Judgment, jointly and severally, against Defendants for the following:

- a. Unpaid overtime wages found to be due and owing;
- b. An additional amount equal to the amount of overtime wages found to be due and owing as liquidated damages;
- c. Prejudgment interest in the event liquidated damages are not awarded;
- d. Reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, expert fees, mediator fees and out of pocket expenses incurred by bringing this action pursuant to 29

Page 6 of 6

U.S.C. § 216(b) and Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and,

- e. For any such other relief as the Court may find proper, whether at law or in equity.

### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

**ROSS LAW GROUP**

*/s/ Charles L. Scalise*

**CHARLES L. SCALISE**

Texas Bar No. 24064621

**DANIEL B. ROSS**

Texas Bar No. 00789810

1104 San Antonio St.

Austin, Texas 78701

Ph: (800) 634-8042

Ph: (512) 474-7677

Fax: (512) 474-5306

[charles@rosslawgroup.com](mailto:charles@rosslawgroup.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**